



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,027	01/28/2002	Gerald Lacour	SMARTEYE.PAT 4931	
7.	590 10/21/2005	,	EXAM	INER
DAVID G. HENRY			CUFF, MICHAEL A	
900 Washington Avenue, 7th Floor P.O. Box 1470			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Waco, TX 77603-1470			3627	
				_

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/060,027	LACOUR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cuff	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATILITORY DEPLOD FOR BEDLY IS SET TO EXPIRE AMONTHUS FROM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .	•				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Control of the control of t						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seaman et al. in view of Whitcomb.

Seaman et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying that a vehicle purchase would be a special event to memorialize.

Seaman et al. shows a system and method for creating a multimedia presentation. In the background, Seaman et al. teaches the use of digital video and digital audio to memorialize special events. (Especially, page 1, paragraph [0008], the claimed basic operation steps for digital video and audio are inherent, see cited Wilson et al. for evidence)

Whitcomb teaches, page 2, paragraph [0015], a method of memorializing the purchase of an automobile with a replica. The reason to memorialize the purchase of an automobile is to profit from nostalgia (see page 1, paragraph [0003]).

Based on the teaching of Whitcomb, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the system of Seaman et al. to incorporate the purchase of an automobile as a special event in order to profit from nostalgia.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the Seaman et al. reference is not relevant. The examiner does not concur. The reference is drawn to the creation of a multimedia presentation.

Applicant invention creates a multimedia presentation.

Applicant asserts the purpose of the invention. This is not relevant. Just because the examiner has presented a different reason for performing the same process, does not make the rejection or the reference invalid.

Applicant asserts that there is no suggestion to combine the references. The examiner does not concur. Both references solve the same problem of memorializing events and Whitcomb teaches that there is profit to be made from nostalgia.

Applicant argues, "recording a transaction, including the legally-required disclosures and consumer purchasing decisions, ..." is a different purpose. Purpose is not relevant in a claim. In addition, the phrase "including the legally-required disclosures and consumer purchasing decisions" as a part of the transaction does not exist in the claim language.

The rejection is maintained.

#### Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,027

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 5

Michael Cuff
July 26, 2005